G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1901-02

(Gen: Fin)

File No. 130 of 1901-02

P. 41 (E)

Serial Nos. 165

Papers Filed. B.

Month January 1903.

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of Rs 32.14.6 due from Mr. C. V. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Channapatna.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
MAT (Indexed) Countermarked	

SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

REVENUE BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT.

Adjustment of Rs 32-14-6 due from C. V. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Chenna-patna.

LAST REFERENCE

Letter No. D1076 dated 29-3-02

from the Dy Commr, Bangalore

C. V. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Chennapatna, drew an advance of Rs 70/- from the Mysore Dist Treasy in 1892 on his transfer to Bangalore as Retrenchment, recouped a portion of it and left Rs 32-14-6 as un-adjusted.

The Comptroller, on 10th March last requested the Dy Commr, I Z Dept Bangalore to recover, under para 2 of Rule 16 of Civil Account Code, the amt

Sodium pick up

from the next pay bill of Narayan Rao by Short-fall, since he failed to produce the Dy receipt or other Voucher for his assertion that the amt has been paid.

The records do not show when the amt was first demanded and what reply was given by Narayan Rao.

The Dy Commr, forwarded a copy of Comptroller's letter to Narayan Rao & ordered him to draw his next payble by Short-fall of Rs 32-14-6, but he refused to comply with the order and re-quested the ~~Collector~~ Dy Commr to refer the matter to Govt. for orders.

No letter appears to have been written by the Dy Commr advising Narayan Rao to pay the amt first and then protest. In reply Narayan Rao says that he is posi-tively certain that he

he was paid the right and is unwilling to pay it again unless compelled to do so by a Court.

He does not say when to whom or to which treasury he paid the amt.

The matter is sub'd for orders of the Court.

Sub'd The Secretary
Fil 2/14/02 2/14/02

4

If a part of the advance was unadjusted why did not the Comptr and other Controlling offrs concerned take action & get the sum accounted for earlier.

A detailed report, together with the records, and explanations of the offrs concerned should be submitted for orders of Govt.

If approved, an order to this effect will be issued.

Submitted to the Dewan.

7/4/1902

7/4/02

4.57

8.IV.02

7.4.02

Office note Cont'd

In reply to the above orders the Comptr reports that the amount having been drawn by the Dy. Commr. Guyana it was held as an advance against that Officer, he being directly responsible to see to its adjustment in time, which he failed to do notwithstanding that the fact was constantly brought to his notice in the several half-yearly statements of advances outstanding. Since June 1902, that in consequence of the long delay in its adjustment the Comptroller addressed the Auditor direct in Oct 901 but the Auditor failed either to refund or to prove by Voucher ~~that to be good~~ for having paid it already. That

therefore there was no avoidable delay on the part of his office & that it was due to want of attention on the part of the Dist. Officer to the requisitions repeatedly made -

The Comptroller invites attention to the tone of the reply + given by the Amildar to his docket No. 2450-51 dr 9-10-01.

+ vide no 377 dr 12-10-01 from the Amildar -

The DY. Commissioner Subd. has not yet Subd. his report and records -

M 3/5/02

Issue a Reminder & the DC Sanction —

M 3/5/02

Draft Subd. M. 3/5

(Office note Continued)

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore reports that Mr. C. V. Narayana Rao Ac has refunded into the Taluk Treasury by Short-drawal from his pay for April 1902, the Sum of Rs 32-14-6 in question

The Deputy Commissioner suggests that
the explanation of the Comptroller
[illegible] by Comm[r] and the Controlling officer (the Dy. Comm[r]
Supreme pub[c] offices Mysore Dio[n]) may be obtained from them
The Comptroller
[illegible]
[illegible]
18[th] 13/12
[illegible]

Para 2 of Art 16 of Chap. I of the Civil Acct Code Vol: I

---

2. A Treasury Offr must not, when a retrenchment is ordered, enter into any correspondence with either the Accountant or the Officer placed under retrenchment. it is his duty simply & promptly to carry out the orders he has received, and to leave the person aggrieved to his remedy in ordinary course.

9

Herewith Govt Proc. No H 1571-2 dated 15th April 1902 regarding the adjustment of Rs 32-14-6 due from Mr. C. V. Narayan Rao, Amildar of Chennapatna.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Seringapatam Divn, with a request that he will be good enough to submit the explanation called for in the last para of the order.

By order

Secretary.

13/12

Send copies of this Govt order & Extract from Minutes of Comptroller's letter dt 28.4.02 to the Dy Comr for Compliance with the direction contd in the last para of the Govt order

To be filed.
Matter disposed of
28/5/04

Fc 1295

1891/02

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Revenue and Genl Depts

Dated

Despatched 29th / 31st } March 1902.

Received

General No.

D No. 1095

Enclosure No. 3 papers

Submits, for the orders of Government, papers regarding a sum of Rs 32-14-0 due from Narayana Rao, Amildar of Channapatna.

Fc

Dy Secy

1-4-02

note subd

2/4/02

Recd

2/4/02

DW. 1095
Rec 3. papers

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 29th March 1902

Sir,

To
M. S. Narayana Rao Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

&c,

From
H. V. Ranganathayya Esqr M.A.L.L.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: and Genl Dept.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government the marginally noted papers regarding a sum of Rs32-14-6 due from Narayana Rao, Amildar of Chennapatna.

This sum is the balance out

1 Court fee's Rs. 5907 of 18th March 1902
2 Bangalore District Treasury Officer's Rs958 of 22nd March 1902
3 Amildar Narayana Rao's D. O. reply.

of Rs 80/ drawn by Narayan Rao as an advance in 1892 on his transfer from the Mysore District to Bangalore and the Comptroller states that the Amildar has failed to prove by Treasury receipts or other vouchers that he has paid it. He was therefore directed under instructions of the ——— Comptroller to remit the amount by short drawal from his next pay bill. Narayan Rao flatly refuses to comply with this order until he is compelled to

to do so by Government. I pointed out to him the impropriety of his conduct in this matter but he still persists in disobeying the orders given to him.

I beg therefore to request that Govern-ment may pass such orders as they consider fit in the case.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District

5

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT.

From

B.R.Subrayer, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller of Mysore.

To

M.S.Narayan Rao, Esq.,

Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Dated 18th March 1902.

No. 5707 to

Has the honor to request that he will be so good as to recover by shortdrawal from the next pay bill of G.V.Narayana Rao, Amildar of Chenapatna Taluk of his District the amount of Rs.32-14-6 balance of the advance of Rs.80 drawn by him in March 1892 from the Mysore District Treasury on his transfer to Bangalore as octroi Manager, since then, he has failed to produce the Treasury receipt or other voucher for his assertion that he has paid up the whole amount though he was called upon to produce the same.

2. His attention is drawn to para 2 of article 16 of chapter 1 of the Civil Account Code Vol: I. The result of the recovery should be intimated early.

(Sd) B. R Subrayer

Comptroller.

5708

No T.A.D. Gre/1228

Copy forwarded to G.V. Narayana Rao, Amildar & Chennapatna Taluq, for information.

Sir

I am sorry for the bill

b

money through I wrote to you
that the matter might be
referred to your Superintend
ents I respectfully beg
to submit that I will not
pay the money ~~now~~ till the
Govt. is pleased to pass Superintend
ents orders after full consideration
of all the circumstances of the
~~case~~ transaction.

From
To
Dated
Despatched
Received
General No.
No.
Enclosure No.

Interrupted
the letter

Chennapatna
23rd March 02

Submitted through the
Dy Commr. Bangalore Dist.

Interrupted
23/3/02

Treasury account Department.

From T.R. Subraya Iyengar B.A. B.C.E
Comptroller of Mysore
To. M.S. Narayana Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner. Treasury Depts.
Bangalore.

Dated 10th/20th March 1902

No. 5907.

Has the honor to request that he will be so good as to recover by short drawal from the next pay bill of C.T. Narayana Rao, Amildar of Channapatna Taluk of this District the amount of Rs 32-14-6 balance of the advance of Rs 80/- drawn by him in March 1892 from the Mysore District Treasury, on his transfer to Bangalore as Octroi Manager. Since then, he has failed to produce the Treasury receipt or other voucher for his assertion that he has paid up the whole amount though he was called upon to produce the same.

2. His attention is drawn to para 2 of article 16 of Chapter I of the Civil account Code Volume I. The result of the recovery

recovery should be intimated early

97 4764

(Signd) Venkatarama Chetty
Chief Asstt to Comptroller.

No: 958/M

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Amildar of Chinnapatna Taluk for information with a request that the sum of Rs 32-14-6 above referred to may be short drawn in his next pay bill without fail, and the fact intimated to this office the very day that his next pay bill is Cashed.

G Venkoba Rao
Treasury officer
& Dt Bangalore

Bangalore
Dt 22nd March 02

23-3-902
[illegible]

Sir I beg to refer to my reps to the Controller dated the 23rd inst I still depend payment till compelled to pay by Government.

26/3/02

Yours truly
[illegible]

Colonial Secretary's
26 March 1902

Dear Sir

I beg to acknowledge
receipt of your D.O. letter
of yesterday & returning my
reply to the Controller, with
feeling highly thankful
for the very kind advice,
I beg you will be pleased
to send my reply which I
herewith return herewith to
the Controller. The only word
which I may be found
to be somewhat irritating

of the Lord Arbuthnot, which
I have just received and

Regarding your enq
uiries ~~that~~ by first and
afterwards proceed, I reached
this beg. thereof from for
as I feel positively certain
that I have already paid
the amount I am unwilling
& beg again unless com
pelled thereto by force of cir
cumstances I am, & the creatures
here as they like. Upon
examination, which took place
& closed up, and which I
feel I am not at all thank

I am the punished, not for
any deserved one, I would rather
undergo the punishment
than submit to pay a large
portion of my hard earned
pay, a second time.

Beg pardon
dear sir
your most obedt
Humble Servt

Dr. Sec. Mysore 17/4/02

Read:—

Letter No. 1095-D/ 29 March 1902 from the Dy. Commr. Bangalore to the Secretary to Government forwarding (Rs. 32-14-6) three Nagarcoil Tamil Elementary Text Books.

Order thereon.

C. V. Nagarcoil Text Books ~~Tamil Elementary Text Books~~ dated 28 August 1901 for Rs. 80/- from the Head Master to the Government in 1892 on his transfer to Bangalore & which he has brought with him, received and placed in Sorting Godown Rs. 32-14-6 ||

The Superintendent of Text Books Mysore Office

Requested to take the Nagarcoil Text Books

No. Rs. 15-71-2 & 15/4/02

15

Rs. 32-14-6

2

Page 24 Rule 16 of
Civil Account Code.
The balance shall be
accepted bill of
Navigation &c
shall discount Service
be paid to person
the Treasury pursuant
or the Warden for in support
his assertion that
the account be
secured paid.

The Masters
to accepted a copy
of the Complaint in the
Court of Navigation and
did order and decree that the Complaint with the requisites
decree shall be paid
bill by shall discount
of Pb 32 4th but the
respect of the Complaint
bill the order and
requested the Masters
to pay the Wardens
to Small Jobs orders.

The Auditor and
Treasury officers
were to be sued to Exchequer
and the order of

[illegible] 121.

[illegible] should be done & [illegible]
[illegible] and the matter
reported to his Govt.

There is also a
Considerable hardship
in the officers being
called upon to produce
vouchers for a transaction
that took place
two years previous
& a part of the advance
[illegible] not clear
Money [illegible] the
Consul pay and to the
Consul & other
officers Concerned
[illegible] action to settle
this [illegible] as
soon as [illegible]
details & report together
with his recommended
explanation of the
officers Conduct
Should be submitted
for orders of Govt.

and not

H
10/4/02

In 6—8

To Vice Consul at Kuala
re Officers Bangalore

(3)

(1)

7L1422

DEPARTMENT

189

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No. 6-86

No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

Requesting to make allotment
of the amount due to the opp
party in the suit referred in
the letter from this Office

29/10/1.

Refer papers.

Office note

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVT.

Bangalore, 24th April 1902. 189

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A.M.L.
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue *Dept.*

Sir,

With reference to the concluding para of the Government Pro: No.FL1571-2 of the 15th Instant observing, relative to a sum of Rs.32-14-6 long due by C.V.Narayan Rao, Amildar of Chen patna Taluk, out of the advance of Rs.80/- made to him from th Mysore District Treasury in March 1892 on his transfer to Bang ore as Octroi Manager, that it is not clear why the Comptrolle and the Controlling Officers concerned did not take action to get the sum accounted for earlier, I have the honor to report that the amount having been drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysor it was held as an advance against that Officer, he being direc ly responsible to see to its recovery in due time, which he failed to do, notwithstanding that the fact was constantly brought to his notice in the several half yearly statements o advances outstanding from June 1892 forwarded with covering letters urging the early settlement of the items, a fact whic will be borne out on reference to the annual statements sent your Office for information. In consequence of the long del in its adjustment, this Office was necessitated to address th Amildar direct, and as he failed to either refund or prove by voucher that he had already refunded the amount to the Treasu the District Treasury Officer, Bangalore was instructed to

(15

recover the sum by short drawal from the pay of the Amildar.

It will be seen from the file of correspondence enclosed ending ~~and~~ with No.5907-8/1227-8 of 18th March 1902 to the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Bangalore, that there has been no avoidable delay on the part of this Office, and that it was all due to want of attention on the part of the District Officer to this Office requisitions repeatedly made.

In conclusion, I beg to invite attention to the discourteous tone of the reply received from Amildar Narayan Rao to the reference made from this Office.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

V.U.C.

balance of the advance of Rs 80/ drawn by him on 1st March 1892 from the Myaree District Treasury on his transfer to Bawlake as acting manager since he has failed to produce the Treasury receipt or other voucher for his assertion that he has paid up the whole amount though he was called upon to produce the same.

2. His attention is drawn to para 2 of article 16 of chapter 1 of the Civil Accounts Code Vol I. The result of the enquiry is intimated early.

Rangoon
The 5th March 1895

Comptroller

Rangoon
The 4th March 1895

Accountant General
Burma

No 5908

32-14-6

47-4-6

Treasury Local Office
Reg no 324
1902

From The Comptroller

To The Deputy Commr
Try Dept
Bangalore

Dated 18th March 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 5907 1140 8

Dept No. 127 71 11

Enclosure No. Nil

Have the honor to request
that he will be so
good as to recover by
short drawal from
the next pay bill of
C. Narayana Rao
Amildar of Channapatna
Taluq of this District
the amount of Rs 32-14-6

2457 copy to Deputy Commr Bangalore for information

Reg. No 64
~~189~~ 01

FROM Controller Nor

Asst Comptr

To C V Rajagopal Esq
Auditor of
Chamrajpet

Dated

Despatched 9

Received 9 Feb 01

General No. 2450 & 57

C.A Dy. II No. 591 - 2

Enclosure No. 1/1/10

Has the honor to request that he will be so good as to furnish the office why he has not furnished Vouchers Nos. 32-14-6 the Returns of 89 & 95 Drawn & Advances by him

64

No. 377 From

C. V. Narayan Rao. 1417

Amildar
Channapatna.

To. Sabraya Iyer Esquire
Comptroller of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge your docket-
No. 2450/591-2 dated the 9th instant asking
me why I have not remitted the
balance of Rupees 32-14-6 of the
advance of Rupees 75/- which I had
drawn in May 1892 as pay and allowance
as Managen Octroi Department of the
Bangalore city Municipality, and if
I don't give an early reply the Deputy
Commissioner of Bangalore, Treasury
Department, will be requested to
recover the amount from my next
pay.

In reply to the above, I beg
to submit that so far as I remember
I don't owe even a pie to Government.
It is very strange that after the
lapse of fully 9 years that I
should be asked to remit the
advance, which I have no hesi-
tation to say I have paid, and
stranger still that I should.

Reply by D. O. March 21

17

threatened to pay it- lest you request the Deputy Commissioner to recover it- from my next pay. If you do so, with due deference, I beg to submit- your action will be simply despotic.

I beg to remain
Sir
Yours most obediently

M Narayanan
Amildar

12th October 1901
Chamarajanagar

3123 / A. [illegible] Reg No: 48
3124 From Comptroller

To The Dy Commr
Mysore

Sir

With reference to the statement of accounts outstanding in the Cash on 30th June, forwarded herein vide No 570 of 1st Cash book, I have the honor to state that it will be seen therefrom that a balance of Rs 32-14-6 out of the accounts of Rs 809. drawn by the Hon'ble Comptr. vide No 92 for Rs 924 paid to C V Varadachar Non-Accidan of Channapatna is outstanding and has been so outstanding for a period of 9 years and no action seems to have been taken by him for the recovery of the amount

Reg No. 8 of 20/11/01 from Dr Narayana Rao.
Reg No 1258 of 27/11/01.

In full of the Amount of
advances each Claimant
half yearly Letters were
forwarded thereof
Separate - Enquiries
on this subject this
long delay in the
Settlement of the
advances in Question
is to say the least
Satisfactory A.
Reference has been
made to the Officer
Concerned who states
that he has forwarded
the full Amount
and nothing is
due by him to
Govt - vide his letter
No 377 of 12 Feb 01
(Copy enclosed)

The Dy Commissioner
is requested to send
this Letter his

personal liability on the
part of the officer. Such
liability for the amount
recovered by the advance
and hence the subsequently
advance repaid by the
Govt and giving credit
in accordance with the
Auditor's receipt
by Govt on behalf of
Treasury Dept, and
report the facts of this
transaction to this
office. Hence the re-
quired adjustment can
be effected by the
Govt. Said Govt
matter will be reported
to Govt forwarded
The advance in question
might otherwise have
been recovered from the
officer concerned
by 3 equal installments
under Article 137(6) of
the C & A Code VI

and failure to do this
renders the officer on
whose receipt the amt
was drawn personally liable
for the amount.

Mr Stud

203/24
23 Copy forwarded to
C.V. Hasmukh Pre-Auditor
Vishnupahadia for
information with the
request that he will
be so good as to
kindly this office with
an early receipt of
the evidence showing
that, by which he
discharged the
full amount of
advance drawn by
him

Yours



1180 Quebec

No 15-3

190

FROM

H. Hannaya Esq. Imp.
Deputy Commissioner
for your District

To

E. R. Stubbs Esq.
Comptroller to the
Govt of Canada

Dated 27 November 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 1258

No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his
docket no 3123 A dated
15th November 1901 —
has the honor to state
that the Deputy —
Commissioner —

6 Encl

Reply by

Bangalore District has been asked to obtain information from C. V. Narayana Row Amildar of Channapatna as regards the money advanced to him and forwarded the same to this office. As soon as it is received the advance of Rs 32-14-6 will be adjusted, and the fact intimated to the Comptroller—

[illegible]

For Offg Deputy Commissioner

Hsly

Mysore District

TREASURY ACCOUNT DEPARTMENT.



From

E.R.Subrayer, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

H.Ramanujiengar, Esq.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore.

Dated 15th November 1901

No. 3123/14 61

ith reference to the statement of advances outstanding in the account on 30th June 1901 forwarded to him with No.370 of 1st October last, has the honor to state that it will be seen therefrom that a balance of Rs.32-14-6 out of the advance of Rs.30/- drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, On voucher No.72 for March 92 and paid to C.V.Narayan Row now Amildar of Chennapatna is still outstanding and has been so outstanding for a period of 9 years and no action seems to have been taken by him for the recovery of the amount In spite of the Reviews of advances sent to him half yearly both ~~orders~~ orders for adjustment thereof and seperate reminders on the subject This long delay in the adjustment of the advance in question is to say the least unsatisfactory. A reference had been made to the Officer concerned who states that he has paid the full amount and nothing is due by him to Government vide his letter No.377 dated 12th October 01 (Copy enclosed). The Deputy Commissioner is requested to give this matter his personal attention he being the Officer personally responsible for the adjustment of the advance and have the outstanding advance adjustment by the end of this month in communication with the Amildars, if necessary by cash or instruct this Office to deduct from his pay bill, and report the fact of his having done so to this Office Should the required adjustment not be effected by the time fixed the matter will be reported to Government for orders.

The advance in question ought to have been recovered from the

6

Officer concerned by 3 equal instalments vide Art: 137(b) of the Civil Account Code Vol:I and failure to do this renders the Officer on whose receipt the amount was disbursed from the Treasury personally liable for the ~~Advance~~ amount.

sd H. Eagles.

Assistant Comptroller.

20/3/24

Copy forwarded to C. V. Narayan Rao, Auditor of Chemnapatn for information with the request that he will be so good as to furnish this office with the Treasury receipt or other evidence he may have to support his statement that he has paid up the full amount of advance received by him.

Sd H. Eagles

Asstt Comptroller

19/11/01 48 ac 26

Mr Ref No. 269

2739

Kh.

I did not occur to me then that I should preserve the Treasury receipt. Since that time I was employed more than 2 times with H.Q. Inspector and in Auditor more than 2 Taluqs I do not now recollect whether I obtained a receipt or did not. All that I can honestly say now is that I fully remember to have paid up the amount before subscribing

f. 2

demanding the amount & pro
my pay & doing any other
thing. I humbly & respectfu
submit the whole matter
be referred to Government
I shall abide by any order
which the Government may
be pleased to pass.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obed: serv
Mr Venkatesh
Naick

Chennapatna
20 Nov 1801

Venkatesh
Nov 20 1801

28

153 Proceedings

190 1957

From The Controller

To The Deputy Commissioner Mysore district

Dated 6-12-901

Dispatched 9

Received

General No. 1970

No. 974

Enclosure No. (2)

With reference to his no 1258 regarding the advance outstanding against C Narayan Rao, has the Court to forward original (etc. be returned when no longer required) ~~docket~~ slip no 2739 dated 20th ultimo received from C Narayan Rao on the Court

and to request that the result
of the adjustment of
the advance may be
intimated at a very
early date.

Asst Comptr

29

Reg. No. 169 324

Reg. 16 1902

From The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Comptroller,
Government of Mysore

Dated 6th January 1902

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to
his docket no: 3970/774
dated 9th December 1901
and reminder no: 9 —
dated 17th December 1901,
regarding the balance
of

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
9 - JAN. 1902
MYSORE

Vide 1st Letter no 12 dated 2/1/902
2nd Letter no 6 dt 12/1/902
3rd Reminder no 36 of 1/3/902

of advance outstanding against C Narayana Row, has the honor to state that the Superintendent of Police, Mysore District, has been asked to cause a search to be made in his office to find out whether the advance in question has been adjusted in part or in full during the time in which C. V. Narayana Row served here as Head Quarter Inspector of Police. As soon as his reply is received, the Comptroller will be informed of the same.

Sd/ Lakshmana Bhatta Jois
for Office Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

H.P.G.



1902 179

From The Assistant Commissioner
Officiating Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To E. R. Hemingway Esq. B.A. B.C.S.
Comptroller to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 2 3 0
Despatched 4 February 1902
Received Camp Kalagala
General No. 2079
No.
Enclosure No. 2

With reference to his
docket No. 3970 dated the 9th
J.A. 2974
December 1901, regarding the
advance outstanding against
C. V. Narayana Rao, has the
honor to inform that Nara-
yana Rao when asked by this
office to produce vouchers or
furnish any other evidence
he may have to support his
Statement that he has paid
up the full amount of
advance received by him —

repeats that he has paid up the full amount and begs that a reference may be made to Government before the balance is again — recovered from him. The account in the District Treasury shows that out of the 80/- advanced to him, only 47-1-6 was adjusted in 1895 and there still remains a balance of Rs 32-14-6 outstanding against him. The money is stated to have been advanced to him on account of his pay and allowance as acting manager of the Bangalore City Municipality — and he is now employed in the Bangalore District as Amildar of Chennapatna. Under these circumstances the undersigned begs to suggest that such steps as the Comptroller deem proper in view to recover the balance from the Amildar may be taken in Communication with the Deputy Commissioner — Bangalore District.

The enclosure to the docket under ref. are herewith returned as requested.

Off. Dy Commissioner Mysore &c

(34)

REVENUE DEPART...
G.L.No. 1902/03
NOV 5 1902
D.No. 5575

From Dy Commr & Dist Magte
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Revenue (Land) Dept

Dated 3rd
Despatched 4th } November 1902

Received

General No.

D No. 4.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No 76 1541-2 of 15th April 1902.

States that Mr C. V. Narayan Rao Asst of Channapatna reports that the sum of Rs 32-14-6 out of the advance drawn by him has been credited into the Taluk Treasury by Short drawal from his Pay Bill for April 1902. and, requests that the explanation of the Govt order and the Dy Comr's Mysore may be obtained from them direct

5.11.02

7/11
Taluk Vistaffees
Mysore
14/11/02

3.
D No 429

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 3rd November 1902

From,

H. H. Sparkes Esq.
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

M. V. Narayanaya Esq. M.A.M.L
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Rev: and Genl Depts

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No Fi 1541-2 dated 15th April 1902, I have the honor to state that, Mr C. V. Narayana Rao, Amildar of the Chennapatna Taluk reports of having refunded into the Taluk Treasury by short drawal from his pay bill for April 1902,

The

The Sum of Rs 32-14-6 being the unadjust-
-ed amount out of the advance drawn
by him from the Mysore District Treasury
in 1892.

(34)

2. The explanation of the Comptroller and
the Controlling officer (the Dy Commissioner
Mysore District) called for in the Government
Proceedings under reference may be kindly
obtained from them direct.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District